## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

DATED: 1/15/13

13 JAN 16 PH 2: 57

| UNIT  | ED STATES OF AMERICA,   | CASE NO. 12-cr-04913-H |  |
|---|---|--|--|
|   | Plaintiff,  | y TUPPOP P   |  |
|   | vs.   | JUDGMENT OF DISMISSAL  |  |
| GABRIEL RODRIGUEZ-ARROYO,   |   |  |  |
|   | Defendant.  |  |  |
| $$\operatorname{IT}$ APPEARING that the defendant is now entitled to be discharged for the reason that:   |   |  |  |
| $\underline{x}$ an indictment has been filed in another case against the defendant and the Court has granted the motion of the Government for dismissal of this case, without prejudice; or |   |  |  |
|   | the Court has dismissed the case for unnecessary delay; or                              |  |  |
|   | the Court has granted the motion of the Government for dismissal, without prejudice; or |  |  |
|   | the Court has granted the motion of the defendant for a judgment of acquittal; or       |  |  |
|   | a jury has been waived, and the Court has found the defendant not guilty; or            |  |  |
|   | the jury has returned its verdict, finding the defendant not guilty;                    |  |  |
| <u>X</u>  | of the offense(s) as charg  | ged in the Indictment/Information:   |  |
|   | 21 USC 952 and 960 - Impor  | ctation of Cocaine (Felony)(1)   |  |
|   |   |  |  |
|   | IT IS THEREFORE ADJUI   | OGED that the defendant is hereby discharged   |  |

Bernard G. Skomal

U.S. Magistrate Judge